



UNITED STATES SPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
	09/430,943	11/01/99	NAUNHEIMER		Н	4100-178		
Γ	-		PM82/1109			EXAMINER		
	THOMAS C P			PANG,	R			
	COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	551 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE NEW YORK NY 10176		E 1210		3681	5		
					DATE MAILED:	11/09/00		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

11/09/00

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/430,943 Applicant(s)

Naunheimer et al.

Examiner

Roger Pang

Group Art Unit 3681

Responsive to communication(s) filed on										
This action is FINAL .										
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.										
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire1 month(s), or thir longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the 37 CFR 1.136(a).	e will cause the									
Disposition of Claim										
	are pending in the applicat									
Of the above, claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration									
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.									
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.									
☐ Claim(s)										
	tion or election requirement.									
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved										
*Certified copies not received:	<i>i-11</i> ²									
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).										
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152										
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOULOWING PAGES										

Application/Control Number: 09/430,943 Page 2

Art Unit: 3681

DETAILED ACTION

The following action is in response to application 09/430,943 filed on November 1, 1999.

Election/Restriction

- 1 This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - 1. Electromechanical Drive I: Fig. 1.
 - 2. Electromechanical Drive II: Fig. 2.
 - 3. Electromechanical Drive III
 - 4. Electromechanical Drive IV
 - 5 Electromechanical Drive V

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 3 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/430,943

Art Unit: 3681

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Thomas Pontani on November 6, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Note: It is believed that claim 5 relates to Electromechanical Drive I, claim 4 relates to Electromechanical Drive II, claim 2 relates to Electromechanical Drive III, claim 6 relates to Electromechanical Drive IV, and claim 7 relates to Electromechanical Drive V. It is also believed

Art Unit: 3681

that claims 2 and 6, which relate to their respective embodiments as cited above, have questionable enablement within the scope of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

Submission of your response by facsimile transmission is encouraged. Group 3600's facsimile number is (703) 305-3597. Recognizing the fact that reducing cycle time in the processing and examination of patent applications will effectively increase a patent's term, it is to your benefit to submit responses by facsimile transmission whenever permissible. Such submission will place the response directly in our examining group's hands and will eliminate Post Office processing and delivery time as well as the PTO's mail room processing and delivery time. For a complete list of correspondence <u>not</u> permitted by facsimile transmission, see MPEP 502.01. In general, most responses and/or amendments not requiring a fee, as well as those requiring a fee but charging such fee to a deposit account, can be submitted by facsimile transmission. Responses requiring a fee which applicant is paying by check should not be submitting by facsimile transmission separately from the check.

Art Unit: 3681

(Signature)

Responses submitted by facsimile transmission should include a Certificate of Transmission (MPEP 512). The following is an example of the format the certification might take:

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (703) 305-3597) on ______ (Date)

Typed or printed	name of j	person	signing	this c	ertificate
			•		
			·		
				· · ·	

If your response is submitted by facsimile transmission, you are hereby reminded that the original should be retained as evidence of authenticity (37 CFR 1.4 and MPEP 502.02). Please do not separately mail the original or another copy unless required by the Patent and Trademark Office. Submission of the original response or a follow-up copy of the response after your response has been transmitted by facsimile will only cause further unnecessary delays in the processing of your application; duplicate responses where fees are charged to a deposit account may result in those fees being charged twice.

Art Unit: 3681

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roger Pang whose telephone number is (703) 305-0445. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays (Monday through Thursday) from 6:30 a.m to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor, can be reached at (703) 308-0830. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

CHARLES A MARMOH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE?

ART UNIT 368/

November 6, 2000